

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,608	07/30/2003	Isao Mochizuki	116628	6126
25944 759	07/28/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			CHOW, DOON Y	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
		·	DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/629,608	MOCHIZUKI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dennis-Doon Chow	2629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	lv 2006					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)							
<u>ا</u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	•	A parto quajro, 1000 C.D. 11,	100 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicate ty documents have been recei (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo et al. (5640178) in view of Inukai (2001/0015720).

Endo discloses a portable computer such as the lap-top type comprising a pointing device for moving a cursor displayed on the display, the pointing device being arranged in a keyboard (col. 1, lines 60-63); wherein the pointing device includes a sensor substrate (51, Figs. 13-14) having first (upper) and second (lower) surfaces; a stick member including a base part (57b, Fig. 13) mounted to the first surface of the sensor substrate; and a plurality of strain sensors provided on the second surface of the sensor substrate (col. 9, lines 40-45) for detecting an operating state of the stick member, the strain sensors being provided on the sensor substrate at positions where a part of each strain sensor overlaps with a lower surface of the base part of the stick member (see Figs. 15-16). The lap-top computer inherently comprises a main unit on which the keyboard is mounted, and the display which is connected with an edge of the main unit so that the display is opened/closed with respect to the main unit.

Endo does not explicitly disclose the base part being adhered to the first surface of the sensor substrate. However Endo, in a different embodiment, discloses mounting a sensor substrate to a base by screws, locking means, or adhering means (col. 4, line 62 to col. 5, lines 3). Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to use the adhering means to mount the base part (57b) to the first surface of the sensor substrate so that the mounting holes (58a, Fig. 13) can eliminated.

Endo fails to disclose trimmable chip resistors disposed on the sensor substrate.

Inukai, in the same input field, discloses a pointing device comprising a plurality of trimmable chip resistors [0042] disposed on a sensor substrate (see Fig. 1), each trimmable chip resistor being connected in series with each strain sensor (Fig. 5).

In light of Inukai, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Inukai's trimmable chip resistors as the resistors in Endo's processing circuit because a highly accurately adjusted low resistance value can be obtained from the trimmable chip resistors.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 571-272-7767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Dennis-Doon Chow Primary Examiner Art Unit 2629 Page 4

D. Chow March 30, 2006